

Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
(Additional Counsel are listed on Signature Page)

[illegible]

Pursuant to Civ. Local Rule 3-13, plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes (“Plaintiffs”) identify the following cases in which substantially similar antitrust law violations are alleged to have occurred due to Intel Corporation’s (“Intel”) use of its substantial market power to unlawfully maintain its monopoly by engaging in a relentless campaign to coerce customers to refrain from dealing with Advanced Micro Devices, which resulted in customers paying higher prices for x86 microprocessors and left them with fewer buying choices for such microprocessors.

Each of the following cases therefore relates to the general subject matter alleged in this action.<sup>1</sup>

1. *Walker v. Intel Corp.*, No. C:05-2882, filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on July 14, 2005 and assigned to Judge Maria-Elena James.
2. *Stoltz v. Intel Corp.*, No. C:05-2897, filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on July 15, 2005 and assigned to Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte.
3. *Naigow v. Intel Corp.*, No. C:05-2898, filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on July 15, 2005 and assigned to Judge Joseph C. Spero.

On July 11, 2005, Plaintiffs filed a motion with the Judicial Panel for Multidistrict Litigation, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407, requesting that all pending and subsequently filed actions in this and other district courts be transferred and coordinated or consolidated in the Northern District of California for pretrial proceedings. A copy of that motion was lodged with the clerk of court on July 11, 2005. The Plaintiffs believe that coordination of the foregoing cases pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407 (Multi-District Litigation

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Pendency of Other Action or Proceeding (“Notice”) with this Court on July 12, 2005 listing seventeen then-pending actions. On July 13, 2005, Plaintiffs filed their second Notice with this Court listing three additional actions, and on July 14, 2005, Plaintiffs filed their third Notice with this Court listing four additional actions.

Procedures) will avoid conflicts, conserve resources and promote an efficient determination of the action.

Dated: July 18, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Alex C. Turan  
Michael P. Lehmann  
Thomas P. Dove  
Alex C. Turan  
The Furth Firm, LLP  
225 Bush Street, 15th Floor  
San Francisco, California 94104-4249  
Telephone: (415) 433-2070  
Facsimile: (415) 982-2076

Francis O. Scarpulla (41059)  
Law Offices Of Francis O. Scarpulla  
44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Telephone: (415) 788-7210  
Facsimile: (415) 788-0707

Craig C. Corbitt (83251)  
Zelle Hofmann Voelbel Mason & Gette, LLP  
44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Telephone: (415) 693-0700  
Facsimile: (415) 693-0770

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and  
Andrew Meimes